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Overview

1. What forms of business entities are relevant to the typical franchisor?

The business entities that are most commonly adopted by franchisors are those that allow them to limit their liability and thus to control the risk they assume when launching a franchise.

Three main forms of business entities may be used:

- the limited company (SA), which requires a minimum capital of €37,000 and a minimum of two shareholders for private stock companies and seven shareholders for stock companies whose securities are admitted to trading on a regulated market. This company may be governed by a dual structure (a board of directors manages the SA under the constant supervision and control of a supervisory board) or a simple structure (the SA is managed by a board of directors that entrusts a general manager with the day-to-day management of the company). The appointment of a statutory auditor is mandatory. Of the three main forms of company, the SA is also the only entity that can be listed on a public stock exchange;
- the limited liability company (SARL or EURL), which can be incorporated by a sole shareholder without minimum capital, and does not need an auditor to be appointed unless some thresholds (turnover, total assets and number of employees) are reached; and
- the simplified limited liability company (SAS or SASU), which can also be incorporated by a sole shareholder without minimum capital. The appointment of a statutory auditor is mandatory only if certain thresholds (turnover, total assets, and number of employees) are reached (and provided that the company is not affiliated to a group or controls one or several other companies). This entity offers a greater flexibility and freedom of organisation than the SARL and SA. The SAS is easily customised, whereas the two other entities must comply with a rigid legal framework.

The choice of one of these corporate entities will depend on the size of the concerned business and its short-term plans (for example, IPO), the resources available to the franchisor or tax considerations. It should be noted that a change of corporate form between these three entities is always possible.

2. What laws and agencies govern the formation of business entities?

All the laws and regulations governing the formation and incorporation of limited liability companies have been collected within the French Commercial Code and, to a lesser extent, the French Civil Code.

Business entities must be registered with the Trade and Companies Registry of the commercial court with jurisdiction over the company’s seat.

3. Provide an overview of the requirements for forming and maintaining a business entity.

The formation of a business entity requires the signature of the articles of association of the company by the shareholders. The articles of association must contain all the means of identification of the company (amount of the capital, registered seat, first managers, corporate purpose, etc) and the capital has to be paid up to 50 per cent for an SA and an SAS and up to 20 per cent for an SARL (see question 1). The articles of association must then be registered with the Trade and Companies Registry. Upon registration, the company acquires legal capacity.

In order to maintain a business entity, a shareholders’ meeting must be held every year to approve the annual accounts, which must be registered with the Trade and Companies Registry.

4. What restrictions apply to foreign business entities and foreign investment?

Generally, and until 2014, no restrictions applied to foreign entities wishing to develop their business in France, or to foreign investments, except in the defence sector. By a new decree of 14 May 2014, the French government has granted itself powers to veto foreign takeovers by expanding the list of sectors for which foreign investments require the prior authorisation of the Ministry of the Economy. The decree includes additional strategic sectors such as energy supply, water supply, transportation networks and services, electronic communications networks and services, facilities or structures of ‘vital importance’ (as defined by French law) and the health protection sector.

Except in these restricted areas, for which prior authorisation from the French administration is required, foreign investors are free to invest in France, subject only to a prior statistical declaration (this declaration must also be submitted when incorporating a company in France).

The obligation for foreigners who are not citizens of a country of the European Economic Area or Switzerland to process their criminal records with the prefectural authorities to be able to be appointed as legal representatives of a French company was ended in 2014.

5. Briefly describe the aspects of the tax system relevant to franchisors. How are foreign businesses and individuals taxed?

No specific taxation applies to franchisors. They are thus subject to the following main categories of taxes:

- income taxes: taxes on the profits generated by the activity of the franchisor. If the franchisor is a company, it will be taxed at a 33.33 per cent flat rate (with some minor exceptions). If the franchisor is an individual, he or she will be subject to a progressive tax up to a maximum amount of 45 per cent of his or her income; and
- value added tax: a flat rate of 20 (and, in certain cases, of 10 or 5.5) per cent applies on all sales of goods or services in France. The fees paid to the franchisor are subject to VAT.

Pursuant to the treaties for the avoidance of double taxation entered into with most countries, foreign business entities are generally not subject to taxation in France but in light of the specifics of each given situation, this is a matter that calls for personalised advice.

6. Are there any relevant labour and employment considerations for typical franchisors? What is the risk that a franchisee or employees of a franchisee could be deemed employees of the franchisor? What can be done to reduce this risk?

In principle, a typical franchise agreement would be entered into between the franchisor and the franchisee as independent parties. Hence, franchisees (or their employees) should not be deemed employees of the franchisor.
Nevertheless, whatever the terms of the franchise agreement, if, as a matter of fact, a franchisee is placed under the close control of, and takes instructions from, the franchisor (‘a subordination link’), it is likely that this could be construed as an employment relationship under the law.

This could be the case, in particular, where the franchisee’s duty merely consists of selling goods that are exclusively (or almost exclusively) supplied by the franchisor, at conditions and prices fixed by the franchisor, in premises owned or rented by the franchisor, or where the elements entering into the franchisee’s remuneration mainly depend on the conditions imposed by the franchisor, or both.

It must be noted that under certain circumstances, certain provisions of the French Labour Code may apply to franchisees that are economically dependent on the franchisor without it being necessary to establish a subordination link (articles L7321-1 et seq of the Labour Code).

In order to limit that risk, a franchisor must be careful not to impose a direct subordination link on franchisees or conditions that would make them economically dependent.

The franchisee is the employer of its own employees. Nevertheless, if there is a direct subordination link between the franchisor and the franchisee’s employees, there is a risk that the franchisor would be deemed to be their employer, or at least their co-employer, which could entail criminal sanctions under certain circumstances. In order to avoid that risk, it is important to ensure that the franchisee is the sole decision-maker in hiring, providing work instructions, supervising, disciplining and terminating employment contracts of the franchisee’s employees.

7 How are trademarks and know-how protected?

Trademarks are protected in France by way of registration with the French Industrial Property Institute. European trademarks (registered with the European Union Intellectual Property Office) also enjoy legal protection in France, as do international trademarks (registered with the World Intellectual Property Organization) provided that they designate France.


A duly registered trademark confers exclusive rights on its holder for a period of 10 years, which is renewable indefinitely. These rights notably enable their holder to act against any unauthorised use of the trademark.

Signs can be protected by way of registration with the Registry of Commerce and Companies. Nevertheless, such protection is granted under the condition that the signs are effectively and continuously exploited on the national territory.

Know-how and trade secrets are not subject to any form of registration but can be enforced as long as they are original, secret or economically valuable, in the form of involuntary disclosure. In order to enforce their protection, one should act on the grounds of unfair competition. Particular care must be taken with respect to confidentiality obligations when disclosing the know-how, particularly at the pre-contractual stage.

8 What are the relevant aspects of the real estate market and real estate law?

In France, the purchase of real estate is governed by the Civil Code. Sales contracts must be signed before a notary public and registered with the land registry. Urban planning has been subject to extensive legislation in order to preserve architecture and environment and to create a framework for the development of urban and rural areas. Domestic and foreign purchasers of real estate are not treated differently.

Franchisors usually rent the premises in which they conduct business under a commercial lease. Commercial leases are regulated by mandatory rules set out in the French Commercial Code. The lessee must be registered with the Registry of Commerce and Companies. A foreign lessee has similar rights to a domestic lessee. These include, under specific conditions, the right to renew the lease and to obtain compensation should the lessor decide to terminate the lease.

Laws and agencies that regulate the offer and sale of franchises

9 What is the legal definition of a franchise?

Although this is a widely used model for developing business, in particular in the distribution sector, there is no legal definition of a franchise in French law. Nevertheless, franchising is viewed, generally speaking, as the ‘reiteration of a commercial success’.

According to case law and to various legal commentators, a franchise may be defined as an agreement by which two independent companies or persons proceed to cooperate, in such a way that one of them (the franchisor) provides the other (the franchisee) with its distinctive signs (trademark, commercial sign), original and permanently improved know-how and ongoing commercial and technical assistance. As considerations, in addition to paying fees (and sometimes a lump sum when joining the franchise network), the franchisee is notably committed to using the know-how and distinctive signs in a uniform, commercial manner.

Franchising was previously described, in a 29 November 1973 administrative order, as ‘an agreement by which one entity, in exchange for fees, grants to other independent entities the right to use its commercial sign and its trademark in order to sell products and services. This agreement generally includes technical assistance’. This definition was considered partial and is no longer in force.

Having set out its own definition of franchise in 1987, the French Franchise Federation now refers to the European Code of Ethics for Franchising, which defines franchising as:

A system of marketing goods, services and/or technology, which is based upon a close and ongoing collaboration between legally and financially separate and independent undertakings, the franchisor and its individual franchisees, whereby the franchisor grants its individual franchisees the right, and imposes the obligation, to conduct a business in accordance with the franchisor’s concept. The right entities and compels the individual franchisees, in exchange for a direct or indirect financial consideration, to use the franchisor’s trade name, trademark or service mark, know-how, business and technical methods, procedural system, and other industrial or intellectual property rights, supported by continuing provision of commercial and technical assistance, within the framework and for the term of a written franchise agreement, concluded between parties for this purpose.

This definition may be taken into consideration by French courts.

10 Which laws and government agencies regulate the offer and sale of franchises?

As there is no codified mention of franchise agreements in French law, there are no specific legal provisions applicable to franchises.

General contractual aspects are governed by the Civil Code and commercial aspects are governed by the Commercial Code. In particular, it is worth mentioning articles L330-1 and L330-3 of the Commercial Code that apply to exclusivity or quasi-exclusivity undertakings and often impact on franchises (see question 11).

Moreover, a new article 1112-1 of the Civil Code provides a general pre-contractual duty of information, pursuant to which the party who knows a piece of information that is crucial for the consent of the other party (ie, that has a direct and necessary link with the content of the contract or the status or identity of the parties) must inform such party if it was legitimately unaware of it or relied upon its co-contracting party. This general requirement will most certainly reinforce the importance of pre-contractual disclosure to be made by the franchisor to the franchisee.

There are no government agencies specifically dedicated to the regulation of the offer and sale of franchises. Nevertheless, competition law aspects of distribution (including franchises) fall under the respective authority of the General Directorate for Competition Policy, Consumer Affairs and Fraud Control, an administrative body within the Ministry of Economy, and of the Competition Authority, which is an independent authority.
11 Describe the relevant requirements of these laws and agencies.

Article L330-3 of the Commercial Code provides for pre-contractual disclosure obligations. It is applicable to all agreements by which one person grants to another a trade name, a trademark or sign, and requires an exclusive or quasi-exclusivity undertaking for the exercise of such other person's activity.

The pre-contractual information must be disclosed in a document (the content of which is described in question 17), which must be remitted at least 20 days prior to the signature of the franchise agreement. Such document must contain truthful information allowing the franchisee to commit to the contract with full knowledge of the facts. Pursuant to article L330-1 of the Commercial Code, the duration of exclusive supply obligations may not exceed 10 years. It is nevertheless possible for the parties to enter into another agreement at the end of this 10-year period.

12 What are the exemptions and exclusions from any franchise laws and regulations?

As French law does not provide for any specific franchise law or regulations, no exemptions or exclusions are applicable.

13 Does any law or regulation create a requirement that must be met before a franchisor may offer franchises?

There is no such legal or regulatory requirement under French law. Nevertheless, since a franchise is described by case law as the 'reiteration of a commercial success', the franchisor must be in a position to prove, before offering a franchise, that it has operated at least one similar commercial business in a manner and for a sufficient time to consider such business as a success.

14 Are there any laws, regulations or government policies that restrict the manner in which a franchisor recruits franchisees or selects its or its franchisee's suppliers?

As franchise implies the transmission of know-how and a relationship based on a strong intuitu personae, the franchisor is free to choose its franchisees and to discard candidates who do not seem to fulfil the personal and professional selection criteria set forth by the franchisor. However, pursuant to case law, a franchisor could be held liable if a candidate is excluded on the basis of selection criteria that are vague, or applied in a discriminatory manner.

The selection by the franchisor of the franchisee's suppliers is licit as long as the choice of the suppliers contributes to the image and identity of the franchise network. The selection of the suppliers could happen to be less justified in a services franchise than in a franchise for the sale of products. An exclusive supply obligation may even be imposed on the franchisee, provided that it is justified by the necessity to maintain the common identity and reputation of the franchise network (see question 40).

The location of franchise outlets (or the distance between them) may possibly be affected by the law of 5 July 1996 pursuant to which any creation of an outlet of more than 300 square metres requires a prior authorisation from the competent local commission for commercial planning (CDAC). Any change of activity of outlets of 2,000 square metres or more is also subject to prior authorisation from a CDAC. The purpose of this law is to protect and develop local trade and small outlets.

15 What is the compliance procedure for making pre-contractual disclosure in your country? How often must the disclosures be updated?

The pre-contractual disclosure must be made in writing at least 20 days prior to the occurrence of the first of the two following events:

- signature of the franchise agreement;
- payment by the future franchisee of a sum prior to the signature of the agreement, notably in order to obtain the booking of a geographic area.

According to case law, the disclosure has to be made at each renewal of the agreement, even tacit ones. The general principle of 'good faith' in contractual relationships may also require that the franchisor deliver any necessary piece of information during the course of the contractual relationships.

16 In the case of a sub-franchising structure, who must make pre-sale disclosures to sub-franchisees? If the sub-franchisor must provide disclosure, what must be disclosed concerning the franchisor and the contractual or other relationship between the franchisor and the sub-franchisor?

There are no specific provisions regarding sub-franchising structures in French law. Nevertheless, article L330-3 of the Commercial Code is applicable to 'any person who provides to another person a corporate name, trademark or trade name'. Hence, it is up to the sub-franchisor, as the contracting party, to disclose the pre-contractual information. This would apply even more so where the sub-franchisor has altered the franchise concept in order to customise it to the geographical area granted to it by the franchisor.

The pre-contractual document mentioned in article R330-1 of the Commercial Code does not specifically require that a sub-franchisor disclose information concerning the franchisor and the contractual or other relationship between the franchisor and the sub-franchisor. Nevertheless, since article L330-3 of the Commercial Code requires the disclosure of truthful information allowing the franchisee to commit to the contract with full knowledge of the facts, the sub-franchisor is under the obligation to disclose all relevant information, which may also relate to the franchisor. Such information may consist notably in the franchisor's name, its location, registration number, professional references, the identity of the managers, or the date of the company's creation.

In the event that there are direct contractual obligations between the franchisor and the sub-franchisee, the pre-sale disclosure might also be made by the franchisor.

Moreover, the new general pre-contractual duty of information should reinforce the importance of pre-contractual disclosure between the franchisor and the sub-franchisor (see question 10).

17 What information must the disclosure document contain? Articles L330-3 and R330-1 of the Commercial Code provide for a very precise list of information that must be disclosed to the franchisee.

The disclosure document must contain, notably, the following information:

- on the franchisor: the company name, location, description of its activity, capital, registration number, bank accounts (this may be limited to the five main bank accounts), identity of the entrepreneur or of the managers, all indications regarding their professional references, date of the company's creation, principal stages of its evolution over the past five years, annual financial statements of the two latest financial years, or the annual reports for the past two years if the company's securities are publicly traded;
- on the licensed trademark: registration, registration number, date of acquisition of the trademark or date and duration of the licence of the trademark, if applicable;
- on the state and prospects of the market (general and local);
- on the network: list of the member companies with an indication of the network's method of operation, list of the companies (maximum 50) located in France with which the franchisor concluded the same agreement and the date of conclusion or renewal, or both, of such agreements, indication of the number of companies which have left the network during the previous year and of the reason why they left the network (termination, expiry, etc), indication of the presence within the business area of the franchisee of any commercial premises where the products or services concerned are sold; and
- on the contract: the terms and conditions of renewal, cancellation and assignment of the contract and the scope of the exclusive rights.

The document must also mention the nature and amount of the expenses and investments related to the commercial name, sign or trademark that the franchisee must pay out before exploiting those IP or commercial rights (see also question 22).

18 Is there any obligation for continuing disclosure?

As explained above (see questions 10 and 16), there are no specific legal provisions applicable to franchisees. Nevertheless, franchise agreements are characterised by the transfer of the franchisor's knowledge to the franchisee. The European Code of Ethics for Franchising...
states that the franchisor shall inform and provide training to the franchisee in order to pass down its know-how; this transfer of know-how and training implies ongoing disclosure of the necessary information related thereto.

Moreover, franchise agreements must comply with general principles of contracts and the franchisor is under a general obligation to contract in good faith (see question 22). As a consequence of this good faith obligation, the franchisor must provide to the franchisee, during the negotiation, the conclusion and the performance of the agreement, all information that may have an effect on the franchise or the franchisee or both. In particular, according to case law, the franchisor should inform the franchisee of any significant change in its situation (for example, the franchisor must immediately inform the franchisee if it goes into receivership).

19 How do the relevant government agencies enforce the disclosure requirements?

There is no specific government agency involved in the enforcement of disclosure requirements. Any violation would be assessed by the courts that have material (typically, a commercial tribunal) and territorial jurisdiction.

20 What actions can franchisees take to obtain relief for violations of disclosure requirements? What are the legal remedies for such violations? How are damages calculated?

If the franchisee can cancel or rescind the franchise contract, is the franchisee also entitled to reimbursement or damages? The franchisee may bring an action before the commercial courts in order to be granted damages and to obtain proportionate reimbursement of the fees paid to the franchisor and the investments made by the franchisee.

It may also ask for the rescission of the contract in the case of an error (article 1332 of the Civil Code) or a fraudulent misrepresentation (article 1137 of the Civil Code), provided that it proves it would not have entered into the contract had the franchisor met the disclosure requirements. Where the contract is rescinded, the franchisee may be entitled to reimbursement of the franchisee fees, as well as to compensation for losses suffered during the exploitation of the franchise.

Damages generally amount to the gross margin that the franchisee would have realised if the information had been correctly disclosed. It must be noted that, in assessing damages, courts generally take into account the professional experience of the franchisee itself.

21 In the case of sub-franchising, how is liability for disclosure violations shared between franchisor and sub-franchisor? Are individual officers, directors and employees of the franchisor or the sub-franchisor exposed to liability? If so, what liability?

There are no provisions under French law regulating any sharing of liability between the franchisor and sub-franchisor. Nevertheless, even though the sub-franchisor is directly exposed to liability, the franchisor may also be held liable if it has disclosed any erroneous information to the sub-franchisor or directly to the franchisee. As far as civil liability is concerned, individuals are, in principle, not exposed to personal liability unless they have not acted on behalf of a company.

22 In addition to any laws or government agencies that specifically regulate offering and selling franchises, what are the general principles of law that affect the offer and sale of franchises? What other regulations or government agencies or industry codes of conduct may affect the offer and sale of franchises?

It may not be sufficient to comply with the disclosure requirements of article L330-3 of the Commercial Code. According to the principle of good faith set forth in article 1104 of the Civil Code and the general pre-contractual disclosure obligation set forth by new article 1112-1 of the Civil Code (see question 10), the franchisor may have to disclose other contractual disclosure obligation set forth by new article 1112-1 of the Civil Code and the general principle of ‘good faith’ in a contractual relationship (article 1104 of the Civil Code) applies to franchisors. This means that the franchisor must act dutifully and in cooperation with the prospective franchisee (or the sub franchisee with the sub-franchisee). This implies disclosing clear and accurate information that would be of significance to the future franchisor, even if such information is not covered by the pre-contractual disclosure obligation package of article L330-3 (see questions 10, 11, 15, 16, 17 and 22).

23 Other than franchise-specific rules on what disclosures a franchisor should make to a potential franchisee or a franchisee should make to a sub-franchisee regarding predecessors, litigation, trademarks, fees, etc., are there any general rules on pre-sale disclosure that might apply to such transactions?

Other than the pre-contractual disclosure obligation set forth in article L330-3 of the French Commercial Code, the general pre-contractual disclosure obligation set forth by new article 1112-1 of the Civil Code and the general principle of ‘good faith’ in a contractual relationship (article 1104 of the Civil Code) applies to franchisors. This means that the franchisor must act dutifully and in cooperation with the prospective franchisee (or the sub franchisee with the sub-franchisee). This implies disclosing clear and accurate information that would be of significance to the future franchisor, even if such information is not covered by the pre-contractual disclosure obligation package of article L330-3 (see questions 10, 11, 15, 16, 17 and 22).

24 What actions may franchisees take if a franchisor engages in fraudulent or deceptive practices in connection with the offer and sale of franchises? How does this protection differ from the protection provided under franchise sales disclosure laws?

A franchisor may be prosecuted in specific cases of fraud (for example, use of a false identity or occupation) or deceptive or misleading promotion of its franchise.

Legal restrictions on the terms of franchise contracts and the relationship between parties in a franchise relationship

25 Are there specific laws regulating the ongoing relationship between franchisor and franchisee after the franchise contract comes into effect?

There are no specific French laws that govern the entire franchisor-franchisee relationship in ongoing franchise contracts. Nevertheless, it should be noted that general contract rules apply, as well as certain specific rules relating to distinct contractual obligations within the framework of the franchise relationship (for example, licences of intellectual property rights).

26 Do other laws affect the franchise relationship?

Where a franchisor exercises an excessive amount of control over the franchisee, the latter could be qualified as an employee, which will lead to the applicability of labour law (see question 6).

The provisions of French and EU competition law are also applicable to certain obligations provided for in franchise contracts.

27 Do other government or trade association policies affect the franchise relationship?

As a member of the European Franchise Federation, the French Franchise Federation ensures that its members comply with the European Code of Ethics for Franchising.

In addition, the French Association for Standardisation has issued a standard known as AFNOR Standard (NF Z 20-000), which contains non-binding rules related to the content and termination of franchise agreements.

28 In what circumstances may a franchisor terminate a franchise relationship? What are the specific legal restrictions on a franchisor’s ability to terminate a franchise relationship?

Where a franchise agreement is concluded for an indefinite term, it may be terminated by the franchisor at any time by giving a written notice of termination, provided that a reasonable notice period is respected. It should be noted that pursuant to article L442-6 of the Commercial Code, a party to a commercial agreement may be held liable in case of an abrupt termination of an established commercial relationship.

Where a franchise agreement is concluded for a fixed term, it may be cancelled in the case of serious and repeated breaches by the franchisee.
franchisee of its main contractual obligations (for example, failure to comply with network standards, breach of an exclusive supply clause, breach of loyalty).

As a general rule, cancellation for breach of contract has to be decided by a court. Nevertheless, there are precedents for the unilateral termination of franchise contracts (without recourse to courts) in the case of gross misconduct by one of the parties. Moreover, the 2016 reform of contract law has expanded the concept of ‘resolution’ of contracts. In the absence of any termination for breach clause in a contract, the suffering party is entitled, at its own risk, to terminate the contract should the breaching party fails to remedy such breach within a reasonable deadline after receipt of a breach notice, provided that the breach is ‘sufficiently serious’ (new article 1226 of the Civil Code).

A franchisor may also unilaterally terminate a franchise agreement for breach if the agreement contains an automatic cancellation clause. On the other hand, a provision in a commercial agreement whereby it may be terminated upon the bankruptcy of one party is unenforceable as a matter of law.

Furthermore, the 2016 reform of contract law has implemented the concept of ‘unpredictability’ (hardship), which permits the amendment of the terms of the contract if the contract was not foreseeably concluded under such circumstances that was unpredictable at the time of the execution of the contract, rendering its implementation ‘excessively onerous’ for a party. If the parties do not agree on amending the contract, the injured party can ask a judge to adapt the contract or terminate it (new article 1135 of the Civil Code). If the conditions of this new concept of ‘unpredictability’ apply to a franchisor, and if the franchisee refuses to adapt the franchise agreement accordingly, the franchisor could ask a judge to either modify the franchise contract or terminate it.

29 In what circumstances may a franchisee terminate a franchise relationship?

A franchisee may terminate a franchise agreement under the same conditions as those described in question 28.

As far as cancellation for breach of contract is concerned, according to case law, it may be justified in the case of breach of the franchisor’s obligation to provide the franchisee with an original and specific trademark, distinctive sign or know-how, or with the assistance necessary to carry out the contemplated activity.

30 May a franchisor refuse to renew the franchise agreement with a franchisee? If yes, in what circumstances may a franchisor refuse to renew?

A franchisor is not required to renew a franchise agreement upon the expiration of its term. The franchisor is neither required to indemnify the franchisee, nor to justify its decision not to renew the agreement. However, the franchisor’s right not to renew an agreement must not be abused (for example, by leading the franchisee to believe that the agreement would be renewed under certain conditions, but refusing to do so).

31 May a franchisor restrict a franchisee’s ability to transfer its franchise or restrict transfers of ownership interests in a franchisee entity?

Franchise agreements are considered to be concluded on the basis of the contractors’ identities (intuitu personae). Consequently, the franchise agreement’s terms may require the franchisor’s consent before any transfer of the franchise or change of control of the franchisee entity, or may alternatively prohibit such transfers.

32 Are there laws or regulations affecting the nature, amount or payment of fees?

Article L330-3 of the Commercial Code may apply to initial franchise fees if, under the terms of a franchise agreement, a licence to use a trade name, a trademark or a logo is granted subject to a commitment of exclusivity. Pursuant to article L330-3, if payment of any monies is requested prior to the execution of such an agreement, the disclosure document mentioned in question 16 must contain information concerning ‘the undertakings made in consideration of such payment’ and ‘the reciprocal obligations of the parties in case of forfeiture’. This information must be provided to the franchisee 20 days prior to the payment of the monies.

The amount and nature of fees are not affected by any statutory provisions applicable specifically to franchise fees and royalties. They are, of course, subject to applicable taxes.

33 Are there restrictions on the amount of interest that can be charged on overdue payments?

As a general principle, the amount of interest that can be charged on overdue payments is determined by the parties. Nevertheless, article L441-6 of the Commercial Code stipulates a minimum rate of three times the legal interest rate. If no rate is provided by the agreement, the rate applied amounts to 10 per cent over the official interest applied by the European Central Bank to its most recent financing operation.

There is no specific provision for a maximum interest rate. Nevertheless, the imposition of unreasonably high rates, in particular with regard to commercial practices, may be considered as manifestly abusive and thus prohibited by article L442-6 of the Commercial Code. The creditor may also claim damages for any harm he or she may have suffered as a result of late payments.

34 Are there laws or regulations restricting a franchisee’s ability to make payments to a foreign franchisor in the franchisor’s domestic currency?

Case law consistently holds that clauses relating to payment in a foreign currency are valid in international contracts.

35 Are confidentiality covenants in franchise agreements enforceable?

Confidentiality covenants are upheld insofar as they tend to protect the franchisor’s know-how or the common identity and reputation of the franchise network, or both.

36 Is there a general legal obligation on parties to deal with each other in good faith? If so, how does it affect franchise relationships?

Pursuant to article 1104 of the Civil Code, agreements must be negotiated, concluded and performed in good faith. This general contract rule fully applies to franchise agreements. It implies in particular an obligation of loyalty and cooperation at all stages of the dealings.

37 Does any law treat franchisees as consumers for the purposes of consumer protection or other legislation?

The Consumer Code now defines the consumer as a natural person who acts for purposes that are outside his or her commercial, industrial, craft, liberal or agricultural activity. Only natural persons may be treated as consumers. In certain instances, the Consumer Code also applies to non-professionals. Non-professionals are defined as any legal entity that does not act for professional purposes. As franchisees generally act as professionals, they are unlikely to be treated as consumers or non-professionals, even if they happen to be natural persons.

38 Must disclosure documents and franchise agreements be in the language of your country?

There are no statutory rules imposing the mandatory use of the French language in disclosure documents and franchise agreements. Nevertheless, the disclosing party must make sure that the disclosure document is understandable to the future franchisee. For the sake of completeness, it should be noted that the non-binding AFNOR standard mentioned in question 27 requires that the franchise agreement be written in the language of the franchisee’s place of exploitation.

39 What restrictions are there on provisions in franchise contracts?

Concerns related to the anticompetitive effects of these provisions are discussed in question 40.

If the franchise agreement contains an exclusivity clause, the duration of the exclusivity is limited to a maximum of 10 years, pursuant to article L330-2 of the Commercial Code.

Restrictions on sources from which the franchisee may purchase goods or lease services are valid provided that their duration is limited to 10 years and that they do not restrict competition (see question 40).
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According to case law, a non-compete clause applicable after the termination of the agreement is valid only if it is necessary in order to protect, in a proportionate manner, the legitimate interests of the beneficiary, and if the time and place of its performance is limited. From 6 August 2016, a non-compete clause applicable after the termination of the agreement will be valid only if:

- it concerns goods and services that compete with those concerned by the agreement;
- it is limited to the land and premises from which the manager has operated during the contract period;
- it is indispensable to protect the substantial, specific and secret know-how transferred in the framework of the agreement; and
- its duration does not exceed one year from the termination of the agreement (article 1341-2 of the Commercial Code).

Parties acting as traders (ie, parties recognised as such under French law) enjoy great liberty in choosing jurisdiction or governing law, or both. In particular, they may elect to submit a dispute to a court that applies the law (article 2023 of the Civil Code); general pre-contractual disclosure obligation (article 1112-1 of the Civil Code); expansion of the concept of ‘resolution’ of the contract (article 1216 of the Civil Code); and implementation of the ‘unpredictability’ (hardship) concept (article 1195 of the Civil Code).

Other aspects of the reform could affect franchise agreements. Indeed, the new article 1110 of the Civil Code defines adhesion contracts (or standard-form agreements) as those that are not subject to negotiation and are determined in advance by one of the parties. Pursuant to the reform, any provision of an adhesion contract that creates a significant imbalance between the rights and obligations of the parties is deemed unwritten (article 1171 of the Civil Code). Moreover, in case of doubt, the adhesion contract shall be construed against the party who proposed it (article 1190 of the Civil Code). As a consequence, the above provisions could possibly have a major impact on franchisees whose standard franchise agreements are construed by French courts as adhesion contracts (ie, standard contracts that have been signed by franchisees without having had the possibility to negotiate or amend them).

Although we believe that there is currently no legal ground to consider that franchise agreements as such are adhesion contracts, it cannot be excluded that, on a case-by-case basis and where the franchisee was deprived of any negotiation prior to entering into the franchise agreement, a French court would consider that such an agreement was an adhesion contract and would construe it in the franchisee’s favour. Franchisors therefore need to closely monitor future case law in order to protect their interests.

41 Describe the court system. What types of dispute resolution procedures are available relevant to franchising?

In the French court system, disputes are brought before specialised courts, depending on the matter at stake. A typical franchise dispute would be brought before relevant commercial courts – usually those of the jurisdiction where the defendant is located. Appeals may be brought before the relevant court of appeal, whose decision may be appealed to the Supreme Court, which will review questions of law only.

Labour law matters must be brought before specific labour jurisdictions. Matters concerning trademarks must be brought before the ordinary civil courts. These cases may subsequently be taken up by a court of appeal and the Supreme Court.

Arbitration is possible between professionals under the Civil Procedure Code. The case may be brought before various relevant internal or international organisations (French Arbitration Association, French Arbitration Committee, ICC, etc).

42 Describe the principal advantages and disadvantages of arbitration for foreign franchisors considering doing business in your jurisdiction.

The main advantages of arbitration for a franchisor are the discretion, confidentiality and flexibility of the procedure. The fact that the parties can choose arbitrators who are specialists in the matter at hand and who are not attached to the national legal system of one of the parties can also be an advantage. Furthermore, as an arbitration award may be final and binding upon the parties, the arbitration procedure can be faster and more efficient than a standard procedure before the courts.

However, the fact that the enforcement of an arbitration award requires a judgment of a civil court may be a disadvantage in case of emergency. Besides, arbitration can be expensive and the costs of the procedure can dissuade the parties from choosing arbitration or, where arbitration is already provided in the franchise contract, from bringing an action before the arbitration panel.
In what respects, if at all, are foreign franchisors treated differently from domestic franchisors?

Foreign franchisors are not, in practice or legally, treated differently from domestic franchisors. Investments made in France by foreign companies are submitted to statistical declarations, and require prior authorisation from the Ministry of Economy if they concern certain protected sectors. This may be of concern to foreign franchisors if they intend to invest directly in France (see question 4).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising &amp; Marketing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agribusiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air Transport</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Corruption Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Money Laundering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arbitration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset Recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviation Finance &amp; Leasing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banking Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cartel Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class Actions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial Contracts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copyright</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Immigration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cybersecurity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Protection &amp; Privacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt Capital Markets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dispute Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution &amp; Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domains &amp; Domain Names</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dominance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e-Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Disputes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement of Foreign Judgments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment &amp; Climate Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity Derivatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive Compensation &amp; Employee Benefits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Services Litigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fintech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign Investment Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Franchise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government Investigations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Enforcement &amp; Litigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-Yield Debt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial Public Offerings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance &amp; Reinsurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance Litigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intellectual Property &amp; Antitrust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment Treaty Arbitration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islamic Finance &amp; Markets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labour &amp; Employment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legal Privilege &amp; Professional Secrecy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licensing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Sciences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans &amp; Secured Financing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merger Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mergers &amp; Acquisitions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil Regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outsourcing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensions &amp; Retirement Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pharmaceutical Antitrust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ports &amp; Terminals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Antitrust Litigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Banking &amp; Wealth Management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Client</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Liability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product Recall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public-Private Partnerships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Procurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restructuring &amp; Insolvency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right of Publicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities Litigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shareholder Activism &amp; Engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ship Finance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipbuilding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shipping</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Aid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structured Finance &amp; Securitisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax Controversy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tax on Inbound Investment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecoms &amp; Media</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade &amp; Customs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trademarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer Pricing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical Agreements</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>